

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/772,252	02/06/2004	Hideaki Yoshimura	042088	6490
38834	7590 08/26/2005		EXAM	INER
	AN, HATTORI, DANI	ZARNEKE, DAVID A		
1250 CONNE SUITE 700	50 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20036			2891	

DATE MAILED: 08/26/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			150			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	H			
10/772,252		YOSHIMURA, HID	YOSHIMURA, HIDEAKI			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	David A. Zarneke	2891				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence ad	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory provided to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sany reply received by the Office later than three months after the rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repn. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH statute, cause the application to become ABAI	ly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely dis from the mailing date of this condition to the mailing date of this condition.	<i>y.</i> ommunication.			
Status			•			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _	.					
	This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-12 are subject to restriction and	ndrawn from consideration.		·.			
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exar	miner.	•				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a))☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyance	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	,,	•	• •			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in App priority documents have been re ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National	Stage			
Gee the attached detailed Office action for a	anacorune cerunea copies nocre	CEIVEU.				
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 	4) Interview Sur	nmary (PTO-413) Mail Date				
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/St Paper No(s)/Mail Date		ormal Patent Application (PTC)-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-9, drawn to a device, classified in class 257, subclass 712.
- II. Claim 10, drawn to a process, classified in class 438, subclass 122.
- III. Claims 11-12, drawn to a heat dissipation member (product by process), classified in class 257, subclass 712.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process. For example, instead of pressing the posts against the metal layer while filling a resin material between the coupling member and the chip, one could fill between the coupling member and then press the posts against the metal layer.

Inventions I and III are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP §

Art Unit: 2891

806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because a different heat dissipation member could be used such as a block of metal. The subcombination has separate utility such as the heat dissipation member could be used in a different device such as one using a different coupling member could be a rigid metal or a nonmetal, such as a conductive polymer.

Inventions II and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. For example, instead of pressing the posts against the metal layer while filling a resin material between the coupling member and the chip, one could fill between the coupling member and the chip and then press the posts against the metal layer.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Conclusion

Page 4

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David A. Zarneke whose telephone number is (571)-272-1937. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 7:30 AM-6 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William Baumeister can be reached on (571)-272-1722. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

David A. Zarneke Primary Examiner

August 23, 2005